

City of Tempe

MINUTES FOR CITIZEN'S ADVISORY COMMITTEE Issue Work Group #2

55 N. Priest Dr. - Priest Yard Assembly Hall

1:00 pm – 4:00 pm, May 31, 2002

REPRESENTATIVES ATTENDING:

Darlene Justus Rich Nolan Kathryn Heffernan Helen Stern Chris Aulerich Maryann Miller

Todd Marshall

STAFF ATTENDING:

Scot Siegel, OTAK
Roger Millar, OTAK
Bonnie Richardson
Grace Kelly
Steve Venker
Arlene Palisoc
Ryan Levesque

OTHERS ATTENDING: Evelyn Hallman

1. INTRODUCTION

Scot Siegel summarized the previous CAC meetings and identified major issues which they believe conflicting direction has been given by the committee members involved in reviewing the draft ordinance. The goal of the meeting was to resolve the conflicting issues.

2. KEY ISSUES/DECISIONS

COMMUNITY DESIGN STANDARDS

<u>Issue:</u> Section 3-303 E. 1-3. "Access Options" - Concern over alley access and over adding traffic onto residential street.

Consensus: Change the language. Do not establish hierarchy of options 1, 2 and 3.

Each development is different. A site solution could include a combination of the 3 options or site specific solution. Keep arterial option open.

PEDESTRIAN OVERLAY DISTRICT STANDARDS

Issue: Access through adjacent property: cross access easement, or access off side street, arterial or site specific combination.

Consensus: Could target particular corridors where you think pedestrian oriented design is most appropriate.

Issue: Building orientation, setbacks, access and circulation, architectural standards. Could provide incentives for pedestrian environment.

Consensus: Require pedestrian oriented design in Pedestrian Overlay. Outside Ped. overlay = incentive and flexibility.

CPTED INTEGRATION IN ORDINANCE

Issue: Problems with CPTED integration into code – They would no longer be guidelines and it could be harder to have flexibility. Standards for key problems areas as agreed by Police Department = a CPTED product.

Consensus: CPTED integration should promote safety and reduce conflict between CPTED and other development standards.

PARKING MINIMUMS AND MAXIMUMS

Issue: Propose use permit to go above maximum and variance to reduce number of parking spaces.

Consensus:

Parking	P.O.D.	Rest of city
Minimums	lower	update as needed
Maximums	Yes	NO

We want to encourage parking by demand by not requiring a use permit in the new code.

ADA (American's with Disabilities Act) DESIGN STANDARDS

Issue: Do not have chapter devoted to ADA building design Standards.

Consensus: Need to recognize and reference ADA design Standards in the new code.

Meeting adjourned 4:15 pm